



# The potential impact of different organic manure sources and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Inoculation on growth performance of sweet corn grown on BRIS soil

Adzemi Mat Arshad <sup>1\*</sup>, Haruna Yahaya Rawayau <sup>2</sup>, Wan Zaliha Wan Sembok <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Soil Science Laboratory, School of Food Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Nerus, 21030 Terengganu, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural Education, Federal College of Education Katsina, PMB 2041, Katsina, Nigeria

## Abstract

This study aimed towards determining the effect of different sources of organic manure and mycorrhizal inoculation on the growth performance of corn planted on BRIS soil. A 5 x 2 factorial experiment was conducted at the glasshouse of Universiti Malaysia Terengganu using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated 4 times to determine the potential impact of organic and bio fertilization on corn grown under BRIS (Rhu Tapi series) soil. The factors include Organic Manure at 5 levels (OM<sub>1</sub>=Control, OM<sub>2</sub>=Chicken Manure, OM<sub>3</sub>=Cattle Manure, OM<sub>4</sub>=Horse Manure and OM<sub>5</sub>=Biochar) and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi at 2 levels (M<sub>1</sub>=with and M<sub>2</sub>=without). The variables measured were plant height, stem girth, leaf number, leaf length, leaf width and leaf area from 2 Weeks After Planting (WAP). The growth performance of the test crop in this experiment was significant in the measured parameters, with chicken manure (OM<sub>2</sub>) under Mycorrhizal inoculation (M<sub>1</sub>) performed better when the data collected were analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Statistical Analysis System (SAS), and means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test at p<0.05. The result of this study shows chicken manure when inoculated with AMF can boost sweet corn production on BRIS soil which is low in nutrient content.

**Keywords:** Manure; AMF Inoculation; Growth, Corn; Rhu Tapi; BRIS

Published by ISDS LLC, Japan | Copyright © 2017 by the Author(s) | This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



**Cite this article as:** Arshad, A.M., Rawayau, H.W. and Sembok, W.Z.W. (2015), "The potential impact of different organic manure sources and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Inoculation on growth performance of sweet corn grown on BRIS soil", *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, Vol. 6 No. 8, pp. 641-649.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: [adzemi@umt.my](mailto:adzemi@umt.my)

## 1. Introduction

Corn is a cereal crop of the grass family (Poaceae), currently under cultivation worldwide. The plant is a source of food to mankind and feeds to animals and as well serves as raw material to many manufacturing industries in the production of materials such as corn starch, corn syrup, vegetable corn oil, and of recent use as biofuel (Remison, 2005).

The crop can grow in different agro-climatic zones of the world, as such being referred to as versatile crop. No other crop has that suitability of growth in different zones like corn. For instance, it can grow from 58° N to 40° S, from below sea level up to higher than 3000m above sea level, from low rainfall of 250mm to 5000mm/annum (Shaw, 1988; Dowsell *et al.*, 1996).

The importance and use of corn differ from one country to another, as most developed nations use it as livestock feed, but with the breeding of new hybrid (*saccharata*) the crop is now being used as vegetable especially in advanced countries (Morris, 1998). Whereas, in the developing nations, the usage of it varies. Most of African and Latin Nations use it as food, while in the majority of Asian countries it's being cultivated for dual purpose (food and feed). About a quarter of the produced is being consumed globally as food (Doebly, 1990).

The third worlds' better means of achieving soil fertility improvement is organic fertilizer. Because it is affordable and readily available among the dwellers, of which animal droppings is an option (Adekiya and Agbede, 2009). The use of organic manure has helped farmers from total reliance on synthetic materials in soil fertility improvement (Schelge, 2000).

Chicken manure is known to significantly improve many growth parameters of crops (Hassan, 2002), nutrient content and nutritive value of plants (Adam, 2004). Poultry manure significantly enhances the performance of many plants and soil as found by Adeniyi and Ojeniyi (2005). Animal manure that is also popular around the globe is cow manure, as such have been in use to improve soil fertility (Kapkiyai *et al.*, 1999) and it is also known to improve crop yield and yield parameters (Jokela, 1992). Cow manure is highly rich in essential nutrients for plant growth and performance. In an attempt by researchers to find the significance of organic manures in plant growth and yield, a work by Senjobi *et al.* (2010) study poultry manure, sheep manure and found them to influence growth performance and yield of plants

Soil amendment with organic fertilizer and bio-fertilizer (AMF) forms part of new soil fertility management (Stella *et al.*, 2001). Integration of biochar with AMF has shown some positive result as the biochar serves as medium for AMF hyphae and also prevent any attack from soil micro-organisms that may be harmful to their colony as such aid the symbiosis between plant host and the fungus (Warnock *et al.*, 2007). It is also affirm that biochar positively affects plant growth and yield as it is a source of nutrient which it is capable of releasing, and aid in holding the soil nutrients together (Lehman *et al.*, 2003).

The research is aimed towards finding the potential impact of organic and bio fertilization to the growth performance of corn plant, as a way of exploring organic farming that is gaining popularity due to its significance in soil fertility management and safe consumption of produced.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Glasshouse experiment

The experiment was conducted at the glasshouse of the School of Food Science and Technology (Pusat Pengajian Sains Teknologi dan Makanan (PPSTM)), in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four replications. The factors are; Organic Manure (OM<sub>1</sub>=Control (no OM), OM<sub>2</sub>=Chicken Manure, OM<sub>3</sub>=Cattle Manure, OM<sub>4</sub>=Horse Manure and OM<sub>5</sub> Biochar) and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (M<sub>1</sub>= With and M<sub>2</sub>= without). This gives a total of 5x2=10 treatments replicated 4 times to give a total of 40 experimental units.

Sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata* L.), Var THAI SUPER SWEET procured from Bumi Agro, Kuala Terengganu, and was planted at 3 seeds/30kg pot and later thinned to 1 stand/pot. The soil (Rhu Tapi) was amended with organic manure according to the treatments 2 weeks prior to planting at the recommended rate of 50t/ha, and inoculated with AMF at planting (10g/pot). All the growth parameters (viz; plant height, stem girth, leaf length, leaf width, leaf area and leaf number) were taken at 2 weeks interval (2, 4, 6, and 8 Weeks After Planting) from planting to harvest. The data collected were statistically analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using SAS software 9.4 and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DRMT) in separating the means.

## 3. Results and discussions

The soil used for this study is classified as sandy with soil separates (%) of sand, silt and clay as 67.35, 2.52 and 30.13 respectively, while having pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) of 4.6, organic matter 0.99%, total N 0.09%, available P 10.40ppm and exchangeable bases (c mol p<sup>+</sup>/kg) K, Na, Mg and Ca as 0.01, 0.08, 0.02 and 0.20 respectively as presented in Table 1.

### 3.1. Effects of different sources of organic manure and AMF inoculation on corn growth.

From the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) it shows that at 6 Weeks After Planting main effect of organic manure source and AMF inoculation are significant for plant height, stem girth, and leaf number while interactions occur significantly for leaf length, leaf width and leaf area as shown in table 2.

### 3.2. Main effects of organic manure source and AMF inoculation on corn growth at 6 WAP

Chicken manure (OM<sub>2</sub>) shows significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ) between other sources of organic manure for plant height, stem girth and leaf number with highest mean values of 96.20, 9.51 and 9.00 for plant height, stem girth and leaf number respectively, though having (OM<sub>2</sub>) higher mean than biochar (OM<sub>5</sub>) but not significantly different. The lowest mean values were recorded for control (OM<sub>1</sub>) having 46.13, 4.55 and 7.62 for plant height, stem girth and leaf number respectively as shown in table 3. This shows the significance of chicken manure for corn growth which is in agreement with Ojeniyi *et al.* (2007) and similar results on growth of Amaranth (Katung *et al.*, 2005 and Dantata *et al.*, 2011; Atusa and Elusaiwe, 2013).

**Table 1.** Physico-chemical properties of BRIS soil

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Values</b>
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	4.6
Organic Matter (%)	0.99
Total N (%)	0.09
Av. P (ppm)	10.40
<b>Exchangeable Bases (c mol p<sup>+</sup>/kg)</b>	
K	0.01
Na	0.08
Mg	0.02
Ca	0.20
<b>Mechanical Composition (%)</b>	
Sand	67.35
Silt	2.52
Clay	30.13
Textural Class	Sandy

Source: Experimental Study 2016

AMF inoculation was significant for plant height and stem girth but not significant for leaf number between inoculated (M<sub>1</sub>) and non-inoculated corn (M<sub>2</sub>) as presented in Table 3. Corn inoculated with mycorrhiza recorded the highest mean value of 86.58 compared to the one without inoculation with a mean value of 81.50 ( $p < 0.01$ ) for plant height, as for stem girth, the inoculated treatment has a mean value of 8.54 which is significantly different from corn without Mycorrhizal treatment with mean value 8.04. For leaf number the mycorrhiza treated corn plant has a mean value of 8.50 and higher than the non-treated (8.35) but not significantly different. This is similar to what Javaid and Riaz 2008; Oseni *et al.* (2010) reported which states there was significant growth in plants inoculated with AMF and giving emphasis on the nature of how it's hyphae helps in exploring the soil Av P, as seen in fig 1 (structure of AMF colonization on corn root).

**Table 2:** A two way ANOVA table showing mean values of different growth parameters at 6 Weeks After Planting (WAP)

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Length (cm)	Stem Girth (mm)	Leaf Area	Leaf Number
OM	**	**	**	**	**	*
AMF	**	**	**	**	**	ns
Interactions						
OM*AMF	ns	*	*	ns	*	ns
<b>CV</b>	<b>3.98</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>4.33</b>	<b>5.21</b>	<b>8.59</b>	<b>8.50</b>

NB: OM= Organic Manure; AMF= Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi; CV= Coefficient of Variation; ns= not significant, \*=significant at 0.05 level; \*\*= significant at 0.01 level

**Table 3.** Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal inoculation and different sources of organic manure on growth of corn at 6 weeks after planting

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Stem Girth (mm)	Leaf Number
OM1 (Control)	46.41c	4.55c	7.62b
OM2 (Chicken manure)	96.20a	9.51a	9.00a
OM3 (Cattle manure)	92.77ab	9.17ab	8.12ab
OM4 (Horse manure)	87.99b	8.67b	8.50ab
OM5 (Biochar)	98.84a	9.57a	8.35a
<b>LSD</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>1.14</b>
M <sub>1</sub> (with)	86.58a	8.54a	8.50a
M <sub>2</sub> (without)	81.50b	8.04b	8.35b
<b>LSD</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.50</b>

NB: Means with the same letter within same column of either of the treatments are not significantly different

**Table 4.** Effects of interaction between different organic manure source and AMF inoculation on corn leaf length, width and area

Treatments	Leaf Length (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Area
OM <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	29.21d	2.90c	64.15d
OM <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	27.75d	2.80c	58.32d
OM <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	67.88a	6.73a	343.32a
OM <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	63.20ab	6.20a	294.03ab
OM <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	64.01ab	6.27a	301.81ab
OM <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	61.53ab	6.10a	281.74b
OM <sub>4</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	60.03b	6.12a	275.73b
OM <sub>4</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	50.19c	5.00b	188.30c
OM <sub>5</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	65.91ab	6.60a	327.96ab
OM <sub>5</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	62.83ab	6.17a	292.04ab

NB: Means with the same letter within same column of either of the treatments are not significantly different

### 3.3. Interaction effect between organic manure sources and AMF on corn growth at 6 WAP

The ANOVA result shows a significant interaction between organic manure source and AMF inoculation for leaf length, leaf width and leaf area and presented in table 4 which shows corn amended with chicken manure and AMF inoculation (OM<sub>2</sub>M<sub>1</sub>) has superiority over others for leaf area with the highest mean value of 343.32 but not significantly different from chicken manure without AMF inoculation (OM<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>) with mean value 294.03. This is in line with other studies that suggest AMF has less influence in the presence of optimum nutrients for plant growth (Wu *et al.*, 2010). Also for leaf length and leaf width the interaction was significant with chicken manure and AMF having the highest mean value in both parameters, but as well not significant from chicken manure without AMF (OM<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>). But the result shows horse manure with AMF inoculation (OM<sub>4</sub>M<sub>1</sub>) has superiority over horse manure without AMF inoculation (OM<sub>4</sub>M<sub>2</sub>). This is in agreement with the findings of Posada and Siverding (2014).

## 4. Conclusion

Organic manure amendment and AMF inoculation shows a significant impact on sweet corn growth, with chicken manure having superiority with highest mean value of leaf number (9.00) and significantly different from the control and horse manure with 7.62 and 8.50 mean values respectively, but biochar also did well in terms of plant height and stem girth at 6WAP with a mean value of 98.84, and 9.57 respectively but not

significantly different from Chicken manure which also has a favourable mean value of 96.20 and 8.67 for plant height and stem girth in that order.

AMF inoculation also was promising from the results obtained, as it was statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) compared to non inoculated treatment, with highest mean value of 86.85, 8.54 and 8.50 for while non inoculated treatment have 81.5, 8.04 and 8.35 for plant height, stem girth and leaf number respectively.

Based on the findings from the interaction effects, chicken manure or biochar inoculated with AMF can boost sweet corn growth performance under BRIS soil can be recommended for optimum growth of sweet corn under BRIS soil, and biochar can as well be another option in the absence of the chicken manure for better growth.

## Acknowledgement

The following are hereby acknowledged for their role and contributions towards the success of this research;

- Staff of Soil Science laboratory, School of food Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia
- Staff attached to the glasshouse, School of Food Science and Technology
- Federal College of Education, Katsina, Nigeria
- Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) Nigeria

## References

- Adam, M.Y. (2004), "Effect of Seed Rate and Nitrogen on Growth and Yield of Teff Grass (*Eragrostis teff* zucc.) Trotter", M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- Adekiya, A.O. and Agbede, T.M. (2009), "Growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill) as influenced by poultry manure and NPK fertilizer", *Emirate Journal of Food and Agriculture*, Vol. 21 No.1, pp. 10-20.
- Adeniyani, O.N. and Ojeniyi, S.O. (2005), "Effect of poultry manure, NPK 15-15-15 and combination of their reduced levels on maize growth and soil chemical properties", *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, Vol. 15, pp. 34-41.
- Atusa, k. and Elusaiwe, M. (2013), "Effects of three different levels of organic manure (Poultry Manure) on the growth and yield of *Talinum triangularer*", *Multidisciplinary Journal of Research Development*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 40-45.
- Dantata, I.J., Kapsiya, J. and Ibrahim, M.M. (2011), "Growth and yield of tomato in response to application of different organic manures on an alfisol", paper presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> Annual Soil Science Society of Nigeria Conference (SSSNC), 7<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> March, Minna.

- Doebley, J. (1990), "Molecular evidence for gene flow among *Zea* species", *Journal of Bio Science*, Vol. 40 No. 6, pp. 443-448.
- Dowswell, C.R., Paliwal, R.L. and Cantrell, R.P. (1996), "Maize in the Third World", Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado.
- Hassan, E.A.H. (2002), "Effect of chicken manure and season on the performance and HCN content of two forage sorghum cultivars", Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- Jokela, W.E. (1992), "Nitrogen fertilizer and dairy manure effects of corn yield and soil nitrate", *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Vol. 56, pp. 148-154.
- Kapkiyai, J.J., Karanja, N.K., Qureshi, J.N., Smithson, P.C. and Woome, P.L. (1999)", Soil organic matter and nutrient dynamics in a Kenyan nitisol under long-term fertilizer and organic input management", *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, Vol. 31, pp. 1773-1782.
- Katung, M.D., Hussibini, I.M.A. and Olarewaju, J.D. (2005), "Yield and storability of onion (*Allium cepa*) as influenced by organic and inorganic fertilizers in the Sudan Savannah Region of Nigeria", *Nigerian Journal of Horticultural Science*. Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 82-86.
- Lehmann, J., Silva Jr., P.J., Steiner, C., Nehls, T., Zec, W. and Glaser, B. (2003), "Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments", *Plant Soil*, Vol. 249, pp. 343-357.
- Moriss, M.L. (1998), "Overview of the world maize economy", in Morris, M.L. (Ed), *Maize Seed Industries in Developing Countries*, Lynne Rienner, Boulder, Colorado, pp. 13-34.
- Ojeniyi, S.O., Akanni, D.I. and Awodun, M.A. (2007), "Effect of goat manure on some soil properties and growth, yield and nutrient status of tomato (*lycopersicon lycopersicum*)", *University of Khartoum Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. Vol. 15, pp. 396-405.
- Oseni, T.O., Shongwe, N.S. and Masarirambi, M.T. (2010), "Effect of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) inoculation on the performance of tomato nursery seedlings in vermiculite", *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, Vol. 12, pp. 789-792.
- Posada, R.H. and Sieverding, E. (2014), "Arbuscular mycorrhiza in Colombian coffee plantations fertilized with coffee pulps as organic manure", *Journal of Applied Botany and food quality*, Vol. 87, pp. 243-248.
- Remison, S.U. (2005), "*Arable and vegetable crops of the tropics*" Gift-Press Association, Benin City, Nigeria.
- Schlegel, A.I. (2000), "Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizer of irrigated corn and grain sorghum", *Kansas Fertilizer Research*, Kansas State University, Department of Agriculture. Exp. Stn. and Coop. Ext. ser. Manhattan.
- Senjobi, B.A., Peluola, C.O., Senjobi, C.T., Lawal, I.O., Ande, O.T. and Salami, B.T. (2010), "Performance of *Cochorus olitorius* as influenced by soil type and organic manure amendments in Yewa North Local Government Area, Ogun State", *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 9 No. 33, pp. 5309-5312.
- Shaw, R.H. (1988), "Climate requirement", in Sprague, G.F. and Dudley, J.W (Ed), *Corn and Corn 638 Improvement*, 3rd ed Madism, Wisconsin, WI, pp. 609.

Stella, W., Mukalama, J. and Thijssen, R. (2001), "Kenya Woodfuel and Agroforestry Programme", *ILEIA Newsletter*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 25.

Warnock, D.D., Lehmann, J., Kuyper, T.W. and Rillig, M.C. (2007), "Mycorrhizal responses to biochar in soil-concepts and mechanisms", *Plant Soil*, Vol. 300, pp. 9-20.

Wu, Q.S., Zou, Y.N., Liu, W., Ye, X.E., Zai, H.F. and Zhao, L.J. (2010), "Alleviation of salt stress in citrus seedlings inoculated with mycorrhiza: changes in leaf antioxidant defence systems" *Plant Soil and Environment*, Vol. 56, pp. 470-475.