



# Mixed cropping promotes the ability of wheat and lentil to increase rhizosphere micronutrients availability in calcareous soil

Sadullah Najim Al-Niemi <sup>1\*</sup>, Shukri Ibrahim Khan Rekani <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Agriculture and Forestry, Department of Soil Sciences and Water resources, University of Mosul, Iraq

<sup>2</sup> Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources, Kurdistan region, Iraq

## Abstract

Micronutrient deficiencies are common almost in all Iraqi soils. Field experiment included six treatments was conducted in order to study the effects of mixed cropping practices on micronutrient availability in the rhizosphere and bulk soils. At different plant ages (50 and 100 days and at harvest time) concentration of DTPA-extractable Zn, Cu, Mn and Fe were determined and soil pH was also measured. The results showed that the concentrations of the plant available micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn) were generally increased in the rhizosphere and bulk soils in response to mixed cropping system and different plant ages as compared to that of the monocropping soils of wheat and lentil. The results also showed that micronutrient concentrations (Zn, Cu and Mn) in the rhizosphere of wheat and lentil were lower than that of the bulk soil in the mixed cropping system.

**Keywords:** Lentil; Micronutrients; Mixed Cropping; Rhizosphere; Wheat

Published by ISDS LLC, Japan | Copyright © 2017 by the Author(s) | This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



**Cite this article as:** Al-Niemi, S.N. and Rekani, S.I. (2017), "Mixed cropping promotes the ability of wheat and lentil to increase rhizosphere micronutrients availability in calcareous soil", *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, Vol. 6 No. 12, pp. 2026-2034.

## 1. Introduction

soils in northern part of Iraq (includes Nineveh province) are usually known as calcareous soils which characterized by low organic matter, high pH (7.6–8.2) and high levels of calcium carbonate (Buringh, 1960; Al-Nuaimi, 1977), in addition to heavy soil texture and low soil moisture (Arid and semiarid land farming). The combination of these factors is the main reason that lowering micronutrients availability in soil solution and reducing cereal productivity in many agricultural areas in this part of Iraq (Barwary, 2012).

Soil amendment, nutrient supply in calcareous soil and foliar application is not always easy to overcome the nutrients deficiency, but it is only a costly and time consuming. Therefore, there is considerable interest in devising practical approaches for the correction or avoidance of micronutrients deficiencies in cereals. Using intercropping system (cereal with legume) which defines growing two or more species or cultivars on the same piece of land during the same season known to increase yield through the effective utilization of natural resources (Snaydon and Harris 1981; Shackle and Hall 1984; Donald 1985, Hauggaard-Nielsen et al., 2006; Li et al., 1999 and 2001; Zhang and Li., 2003) and monetary returns to the farmers (Ofori and Stern, 1987) and improve soil fertility through the addition of nitrogen by fixation and extraction from the component legume (Hauggaard-Nielsen et al., 2001) compared to mono cropping system.

Root and soil interactions during plant growth induce changes that make rhizosphere soil to differ from bulk soil (Wang and Zabowski, 1998). These changes are caused by root uptake of nutrients, microbial activity, and/or components of root exudates (Marschner, 1995; Hinsinger, 2001; Dakora and Phillips, 2002). It is well known that lentil and wheat have distinctly different response mechanisms to micro nutrients deficiency stress. Lentil is a 'strategy I' plant, while wheat belongs to the 'strategy II' group. Strategy II plants are characterized by a higher micronutrient acquisition efficiency in soils with high pH and, in particular, high bicarbonate content through the excretion of phytosiderophores (PS) into the rhizosphere (Römheld and Marschner 1986). However, this mechanism still remains to be properly understood. Although we have recently gained considerable insights into nutrient dynamics in the rhizosphere, little is known about the mineral concentrations of plant rhizosphere, especially when grown in different cropping systems and/or plant densities. This study was carried out to investigate the micronutrients status in the bulk and rhizosphere soils of mixed wheat and lentil crops at various plant ages and mixing ratios.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Crop management and experimental design

Field experiment was conducted during 2012 in a private farm in Al-Shalalat village [(43°12'03".64 E) and (36°28'04".40 N)], Mosul city, Nineveh province of Iraq. The topsoil (0–0.3 m) of the experimental field (table-1) which classified within the order Aridisols and Calciorthid great group was a clay loam. The pH of the soil was 7.83 and contained 370 g kg<sup>-1</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The experiment was arranged as a factorial based on randomized complete block design (split plot) with three replications. The following treatments were used:

- T1: Sole wheat (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)
- T2: Wheat (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + (20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Lentil
- T3: Wheat (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + (40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Lentil
- T4: Wheat (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Lentil
- T5: Wheat (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + (80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Lentil
- T6: Sole Lentil (80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The treatment units were as strips (each strip contains 3 replicates) with area 3.6 m×100 m involving 20 rows with inter-row spacing of 18cm and inter-strip spacing of 1.5m. Zero tillage system was used and supplementary irrigation water was provided when needed by using sprinkler irrigation.

## 2.2. Soil collection and analysis

In order to evaluate the effects of mixed cropping performance in mixture and sole crop on pH and micronutrients available concentrations on bulk and rhizosphere soils, soil was collected from the bulk and rhizosphere soils of the field study after 50,100 days of plant age in the field and at harvest time (168 days). The rhizosphere soil collected as followed by (Gobran and Clegg, 1996; Rengel, 1997), the roots were held by the stem base and gently have been shaken, the soil that remained adhering to the roots was defined as rhizosphere soil and the soil which easily removed from the roots defined as bulk soil. The soil samples were air-dried, passed through a 4-mm sieve and the pH was measured by pH meter in 1:1 soil suspension after shaking for 30 minutes according to Ryan et al. (2001). Plant available concentration of the micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn) in soil were determined according to the method stated by Ryan et al. (2001) by extraction with DTPA using a soil solution ratio of 1:2 and shaking time of two hours. Micronutrient extracted was determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma- Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES).

## 2.3. Statistical analysis

A randomized complete block design (split plot) was used for the statistical analysis of the data. The treatment means were compared by determining the least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability ( $P = 0.05$ ) using statistical analysis software SAS (2002).

# 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Physicochemical characteristic of soil

Physical and chemical properties of soil are presented in table (1). Soil had high pH (7.83) and was calcareous, which contains about 370 g kg<sup>-1</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The organic matter content was 20.4 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. Soil had a clay loam texture, where the clay fraction was almost that of silt. In soil sample concentration of DTPA-extractable Fe was 2.80 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> which was less than the adequate amount of Fe in calcareous soils (4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) as stated by Soltanpour and Schwab (1977), and it was marginal with the critical level for calcareous soils

(2.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) obtained by (Sims and Johnson, 1991). While the DTPA- extractable Zn in soil (0.6 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> soil) was marginal with the adequate amount of Zn in calcareous soil (0.5 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> soil) as stated by (Sims and Johnson, 1991) and it was less than the adequate amount of Zn in calcareous soils (> 1.0 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> soil) as stated by Soltanpour and Schwab (1977). The high pH and the high concentrations of CaCO<sub>3</sub> and clay in soil together with low annual precipitation may considered to be the major factors causing deficiency of the most nutrients specially micronutrients in plant grown in the north part of Iraq.

**Table 1.** Physiochemical properties of top soil sample (0 – 0.3m)

1	Electrical conductivity EC (ds m <sup>-1</sup> ) from 1:1 extract	0.57
2	Soil pH from 1:1 soil suspension	7.83
3	Total Calcium carbonate( g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	370
4	Organic matter (g Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	20.4
5	Sand (g Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	98
6	Silt (g Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	450
7	Clay (g Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	452
8	Soil texture	Clay loam
Micronutrients (DTPA)		
9	Available Fe (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	2.8
10	Available Zn (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	0.6
11	Available Mn (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	5.0
12	Available Cu (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	3.0
Macronutrients		
13	Available Nitrogen (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	56
14	Available phosphorous (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	4.6
15	Available Potassium (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	355.6

Nutrients move to plant root in soils is limited largely by diffusion and mass flow in the soil solution (O'Connor et al., 1971, Chaney, 1984, Marschner, 1993), and thus absorption is highly dependent on soil water status and root growth (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). Micronutrients nutrition of plants is often threatened in arid soils having low plant available concentration of Fe and Zn. Diffusion of micronutrients in soil is also greatly affected by soil pH. In calcareous soils, diffusion coefficient for micronutrients is lower than in acid soils (Melton et al., 1973). O'Connor et al. (1971) stated that at neutral to basic soil pH, inorganic Fe levels available for transport to the plant roots by both mass flow and diffusion are below the plant requirements. Fe<sup>2+</sup> decreases in solubility 100-fold for every unit increase in pH (Crowley et al., 1987).

### 3.2. Rhizosphere and bulk soils micronutrient concentration

The data (Tables 2 and 3) showed that the concentration of the plant available micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn) were generally increased in the rhizosphere and bulk soils in response to mixed cropping system as compared to that of the mono cropping soils of wheat or lentil under the effect of different field plant ages (50,100 days and at harvest time). This result was expected because the mixed cropping system is more efficient in exploring a larger total soil volume if component crops have different rooting habits, in particular depth of rooting (Willey, 1979). Rotting patterns differ greatly between cereals and legumes (Anil et al., 1998) and this may be one reason for the commonly observed advantage of cereal-legume mixed crops in increasing available micronutrients in soil. The other reason that cereal-legume mixed cropping can promote the availability of micronutrients in soil especially in low micronutrients soils (calcareous soils) is by its root-induced pH changes. In our study the mixed crop bulk and rhizosphere soils at different plant ages and at harvest time were acidified as compared to that of sole cropping soils of both crops (Table 4). This small acidification might be due to that in neutral and alkaline soils legumes are assumed to increase micronutrients availability by rhizosphere acidification due to  $N_2$  fixation process. Ae et al., (1990) and Marschner (1995) stated that, due to dependency on  $N_2$  fixation, legumes ( $N_2$  fixing plants) is known to take up higher amounts of mineral elements from their rhizosphere as they are required for plant growth and  $N_2$  fixation compared with non-legume plant which is a non  $N_2$ -fixing plant. Consequently, their rhizosphere will acidified which is not only enhanced by the release of  $H^+$  but also by the change in cation/anion ratio (Tang et al., 1997, 2001), a process which could lead to variation in micronutrients concentration in the rhizosphere compared with the bulk soil (Wang and Zabowski, 1998; Wang et al., 2001; Dakora and Phillips, 2002; Cornu et al., 2007). Similar result was reported by Haynes (1983) who found that the growth of legumes which are fixing atmospheric  $N_2$  involves the excess uptake of nutrient cations over anions from soil solution which results in the net efflux of  $H_3O^+$  ions from plant roots into the rhizosphere. This processes leading to legume-induced soil acidification. Also lentil belong to Strategy I plants which is a micronutrients acquisition mechanism used by all higher plants except the grasses (Römheld et al., 1984) such as wheat. Under micronutrient-deficient conditions, strategy I plants increase the solubility of micronutrient in the rhizosphere to enhance micronutrient availability to plants by  $H^+$  exudation, which lowers the rhizosphere pH and increases solubility of Fe (Römheld et al., 1984), the release of organic reductants from plant roots that promote the reduction of micronutrient such as Fe(III) to Fe(II) (Chaney et al., 1972) and root exudation of micronutrient-chelating agents (phenolic) that increase the solubility of micronutrient (Schmidt, 1999).

The results also showed that micronutrient concentrations (Zn, Cu and Mn) in the rhizosphere of wheat and lentil were lower than that of the bulk soil in mixed cropping system (Tables 2 and 3) suggesting greater root uptake rates that would have exceeded their replacement through the soil solution by mass flow and diffusion processes. Similar results were found by Yanai et al. (2003) when they studied the differences in nutrient concentrations between rhizosphere and bulk soil in a Norway spruce stand. Likewise, the use of different crops in cropping systems in this study created variation in mineral elements demand from their rhizosphere. As a result, the surrounding rhizosphere was strategically modified through root exudation and uptake of micronutrients.

From the results, no clear differences were found between the mineral concentration in the rhizosphere of sole wheat and sole lentil (Table 2); it was not reduced more in lentil compared with wheat. This result differs from the finding of (Delhaize *et al.*, 1993; Dakora and Phillips, 2002, Hinsinger *et al.*, 2003) who stated that higher demand of mineral elements from the rhizosphere by legumes for growth and N<sub>2</sub> fixation and the species-to-species competition for growth factors suggest reduced mineral elements concentration in the rhizosphere of legumes compared with cereal species.

In conclusion, our results suggest that different agronomic practices may lead to significant variation in the concentration of mineral element in the rhizosphere.

**Table 2.** Effect of wheat-lentil mixed cropping on the micronutrients concentration (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the rhizosphere soil

Treatments	Fe		Zn		Cu		Mn	
	50 d.	100 d.	50 d.	100 d.	50 d.	100 d.	50 d.	100 d.
Sole lentil	3.22	6.82	0.37	0.36	1.26	0.59	9.76	11.68
Sole wheat	3.48	6.46	0.34	0.39	1.27	0.62	7.30	14.52
Mixed crop	3.66	6.84	0.40	0.45	1.39	0.70	9.50	15.10
LSD (0.05)	0.37	0.44	0.09	0.07	1.15	0.08	0.94	0.90

**Table 3.** Effect of wheat-lentil mixed cropping on the micronutrients concentration (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the bulk soil

Treatments	Fe			Zn			Cu			Mn		
	50d.	100d.	harvest	50d.	100d.	harvest	50d.	100d.	harvest	50d.	100d.	harvest
Sole lentil	2.80	3.76	3.18	0.47	0.49	0.30	0.97	0.75	0.20	9.53	14.35	12.97
Sole wheat	3.97	5.62	2.22	0.46	0.47	0.16	1.54	0.68	0.23	9.82	13.56	7.28
Mixed crop	3.62	5.76	2.88	0.53	0.53	0.19	1.50	0.78	0.23	12.57	15.21	15.52
LSD(0.05)	0.65	0.53	0.28	0.09	0.07	0.22	0.13	0.18	0.02	1.65	1.00	1.36

**Table 4.** Effect of wheat-lentil mixed cropping on the pH of both bulk and rhizosphere soil

Treatments	bulk soil			rhizosphere	
	50 d.	100 d.	Harvest	50 d.	100 d.
Sole lentil	7.95	7.56	7.35	8.00	7.49
Sole wheat	7.96	7.59	7.49	8.06	7.55
Mixed crop	7.89	7.54	7.29	7.90	7.48
LSD (0.05)	0.09	0.04	0.12	0.54	0.04
Age (mixed crop)	7.89	7.54	7.29	7.90	7.48
LSD (0.05)	0.05			0.03	

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Ministry of Higher Education of Iraq for financial supporting.

## References

- Ae, N., Arihara, L. and Okada, K. (1990), "Phosphorus uptake by pigeon pea and its role in cropping systems of the Indian subcontinent", *Science*, Vol. 248 No. 4954, pp. 477- 480.
- Al-Nuaimi, N.M. (1977), Chemical properties of soils in Nineveh, MSc. Thesis, University of Missouri, Colombia, USA.
- Anil, L., Park, J., Phipps, R.H. and Miller, F.A. (1998), "Temperate intercropping of cereals for forage": A review of the potential for growth and utilization with particular reference to the UK. Blackwell Science Ltd. *Grass and Forage Science*. Vol. 53 No. 4, pp. 301-317.
- Barwary, S.S. (2012), Iron efficient and inefficient wheat genotypes grown in iron – deficient calcareous soil, M.Sc. thesis, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok.
- Buringh, P. (1960), Soils and soil conditions in Iraq, Ministry of Agriculture, Baghdad, IRAQ.
- Chaney, R.L. (1984), "Diagnostic practices to identify iron deficiency in higher plants", *J. Plant Nutr.* Vol. 7 No. 1-5, pp. 47-67.
- Chaney, R.L., Brown, J.C. and Tiffin, L.O. (1972), "Obligatory reduction of ferric chelates in iron uptake by soybeans", *Plant Physiol.* Vol. 50 No. 2, pp. 208-213.
- Cornu, J.Y., Staunton, S. and Hinsinger, P. (2007), "Copper concentration in plants and in the rhizosphere as influenced by the iron status of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.)", *Plant and Soil* vol. 292, pp. 63-77.
- Crowley, D.E., Reid, C.P.P. and Szaniszlo, P.J. (1987), "Microbial siderophores as iron sources for plants", In: Winkelmann, G., Van der Helm, D. and Neilands, J.B. (eds.), *Iron transport in animals, plants and microorganisms*, VCH Chemie, Weinheim, Germany, pp. 370-386.
- Dakora, F.D. and Philips, D.A. (2002), "Root exudates as mediators of mineral acquisition in low-nutrient environments", *Plant and Soil*. Vol. 245 No.1, pp. 35-47.
- Delhaize, E., Ryan, P.R. and Randall, P.J. (1993), "Aluminium tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) II Aluminium stimulated excretion of malic acid from root apices", *Plant Physiol.* Vol. 103 No. 3, pp. 695–702.
- Donald, C.M. (1985), "The interaction of competition for light and for nutrients", *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* Vol. 9, pp. 421–435.
- Gobran, G.R. and Clegg, S. (1996), "A conceptual model for nutrient availability in the mineral soil-root system", *Can. J. Soil Sci.* Vol. 76 No. 2, pp. 125-131.



- Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Ambus, P. and Jensen, E.S. (2001), "Temporal and spatial distribution of roots and competition for nitrogen in pea-barley intercrops – a field study employing  $^{32}\text{P}$  technique", *Plant Soil*. Vol. 236, pp. 63–74.
- Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Knudsen, M.T., Jørgensen, J.R. and Jensen, E.S. (2006), "Intercropping wheat with pea for improved wheat baking quality", *Proceedings of the European Joint Organic Congress*, May 30-31, 2006, Odense, Denmark.
- Haynes, R.J. (1983), "Soil acidification induced by leguminous crops", *Grass and Forage Science*. Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 1-11.
- Hinsinger, P. (2001), "Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by root-induced chemical changes": A review, *Plant and Soil*. Vol. 237 No. 2, pp. 173-195.
- Hinsinger, P., Plassard, C., Tang, C. and Jaillard, B. (2003), "Origins of root-mediated pH changes in the rhizosphere and their responses to environmental constraints": A review, *Plant and Soil*. Vol. 248 No. 1-3, pp. 43-59.
- Li, L., Christie, S.C., Yang, X.L., Li, F.S. and Zhang, P. (1999), "Interspecific complementary and competitive interaction between intercropped maize and faba bean", *Plant Soil*. Vol. 212 No. 2, pp. 105-114.
- Li, L., Sun, J.H., Zhang, F.S., Li, X.L., Yang, S.C. and Rengel, Z. (2001), "Wheat/maize or wheat/faba bean strip intercropping I: yield advantage and interspecific interaction on nutrients", *Field Crops Res*. Vol. 71 No. 2, pp. 123–137.
- Marschner, H. (1993), *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*, Academic Press, London.
- Marschner, H. (1995), *Mineral nutrition of higher plants*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Academic Press, London.
- Melton, J.R., Mahtab, S.K. and Swoboda, A.R. (1973), "Diffusion of zinc in soils as a function of applied zinc, phosphorous and soil pH", *Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. Proc*. Vol. 37 No. 3, pp. 379-381.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E. A. (2001), *Principles of plant nutrition*, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, Kluwer Academic publishers.
- O'Connor, G.A., Lindsay, W.L. and Olsen, S.R. (1971), "Diffusion of iron and iron chelates in soil", *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc*. Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 407-410.
- Ofori, F. and Stern, W.R. (1987), "Cereal-legume intercropping systems", *Adv Agron*. Vol. 41, pp. 41-90.
- Rengel, Z. (1997), "Root exudation and microflora population in rhizosphere of crop genotypes differing in tolerance to micronutrient deficiency", *Plant and soil*. Vol. 196 No. 2, pp. 255–260.
- Römheld, V. and Marschner, H. (1986), "Evidence for a specific uptake system for iron phytosiderophores in roots of grasses", *Plant Physiology*. Vol. 80 No. 1, pp. 175–180.
- Römheld, V., Müller, C. and Marschner, H. (1984), "Localization and capacity of proton pumps in roots of intact sunflower plants", *Plant Physiology*. Vol. 76 No 3, pp. 603-606.
- Ryan, J., Estefan, G. and Abdul Rashid (2001), *Soil and plant analysis laboratory manual*, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas – ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.
- SAS (2002), *The SAS system for windows*, V. 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.



- Schmidt, W. (1999), "Mechanisms and regulation of reduction-based iron uptake in plants", *New Phytologist*. Vol. 141 No. 1, pp. 1-26.
- Shackle, K.A. and Hall, A.E. (1984), "Effect of intercropping on the water relations of sorghum and cowpea", *Field Crops Res.* Vol. 8, pp. 381–387.
- Sims, J.T. and Johnson, G.V. (1991), "Micronutrient soil tests", In Mortvedt J. J. et al., (eds.), *micronutrients in Agriculture*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, The Soil Science Society of America, Book Series No. 4, Madison, WI., USA PP. 427-476,
- Snaydon, R.W. and Harris, P.M. (1981), "Interaction below ground—the use of nutrients and water", In: *Proceedings of international workshop on intercropping*, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-arid Tropics (ICRISAT), 10-13 January, 1979, Hyderabad, India.
- Soltanpour, P.N. and Schwab, A.P. (1977), "A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro- and micro-nutrient in alkaline soil", *Communications in Soils Sci. and Plant analysis*. Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 195-207.
- Tang, C., Hinsinger, P., Drevon, J.J. and Jaillard, B. (2001), "Phosphorus deficiency impairs early nodule functioning and enhances proton release in roots of *Medicago truncatula* L.", *Annals of Botany*. Vol. 88, pp. 131-138.
- Tang, C., McLay, C.D.A. and Barton, L. (1997), "A comparison of proton excretion of twelve pasture legumes grown in nutrient solution", *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 563–570.
- Wang, X. and Zabowski, D. (1998), "Nutrient composition of Douglas-fir rhizosphere and bulk soil solutions", *Plant and Soil*. Vol. 200 No. 1, pp. 13–20.
- Wang, Z., Göttlein, A. and Bartonek, G. (2001), "Effects of growing roots of Norway spruce (*Piceaabies* [L.] Karst.) and European beech (*Fagussylvatica* L.) on rhizosphere soil solution chemistry", *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* Vol. 164 No. 1, pp. 35–41.
- Willey, R.W. (1979), "Intercropping – its importance and research needs", Part1. Competition and yield advantages, *Field Crop Abstracts*. Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 1–10.
- Yanai, R.D., Majdi, H. and Park, B.B. (2003), "Measured and modeled differences in nutrient concentrations between rhizosphere and bulk soil in a Norway spruce stand", *Plant and Soil*. Vol. 257, pp. 133–142.
- Zhang, F. and Li, L. (2003), "Using competitive and facilitative intercropping systems enhances crop productivity and nutrient-use efficiency", *Plant and Soil*. Vol. 248, pp. 305-312.