

International Journal of Development and Sustainability Online ISSN: 2186-8662 – www.isdsnet.com/ijds Volume 1 Number 3 (2012): Pages 1170-1186 ISDS Article ID: IJDS13051501



Special Issue: Development and Sustainability in Africa - Part 1

Impact of pharmaceutical care interventions on the occurrence and resolution of side/adverse drug effects associated with antiretroviral drug therapy

Ezeudo Ewuziem Nwaozuzu 1*, Mathew J. Okonta 2, Cletus Nze Aguwa 2

¹ Pharmacy Department, Federal Medical Centre, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

² Clinical Pharmacy Department, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Abstract

Pharmaceutical care (PC) has been shown to improve the outcome of drug therapy in many disease conditions. HIV/AIDS is one of the disease conditions that are fraught with many problems that can benefit from this new emphasis of pharmacy practice also known as 'pharmacists care'. Adverse drug reactions or effects are unintended and undesirable effects of drugs other than their known and expected actions which can be unpleasant and sometimes fatal. This study is designed to evaluate the impact of pharmaceutical care activities on the occurrence of side/adverse drug reactions in HIV/AIDS patients receiving antiretroviral drugs. The components of the American society of health-system pharmacists (ASHP) guidelines on 'standardized method for pharmaceutical care' was used as a data collection instrument to evaluate, document and intervene in the antiretroviral therapy of about one thousand four hundred and seventy three (1,473) patients. The study identified about sixty (60) different types of side/adverse effects occurring among these patients through observation and patient complaints. The study also showed significant reduction in the incidence of side/adverse drug effects following the Pharmacist's intervention activities, $p \ge 0.5$. The study showed that pharmacists' interventions in antiretroviral drug therapy through Pharmaceutical care can significantly reduce the incidence of side/adverse drug effects in HIV/AIDS patients receiving antiretroviral drugs.

Keywords: Pharmaceutical care, HIV/AIDS, Side/adverse drug effects, Pharmacist interventions, Hospital pharmacy

Copyright © 2013 by the Author(s) – Published by ISDS LLC, Japan International Society for Development and Sustainability (ISDS)

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* ezeudoe@yahoo.com

Cite this paper as: Nwaozuzu, E.E., Mathew J, O., Aguwa, C.N. (2012), "Impact of pharmaceutical care interventions on the occurrence and resolution of side/adverse drug effects associated with antiretroviral drug therapy", *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, Vol. 1 No. 3, pp. 1170-1186.

1. Introduction

Pharmaceutical care (PC) has been defined as the responsible provision of drug therapy for the purpose of achieving definite outcomes that improves or maintains a patient's quality of life (Hepler & Strand, 1990) as modified by (FIP, 1998). The concept of the pharmaceutical care is about pharmacists taking more responsibility for the outcome of drug therapy. HIV/AIDS on the other hand is possibly the biggest challenge facing the healthcare system today. It is a condition that occurs when the HIV organism (a retrovirus) weakens the human immune system. Infection with the virus is a dynamic process characterized by vigorous viral replication, CD4 lymphocyte depletion and profound immune deficiency.

The concept and philosophy of pharmaceutical care has been adopted and implemented in many developed countries for many years now. It has achieved great successes in the care of patients in the US, UK and other developed countries of the world as patients in these places now get better care from Pharmacists who alongside the patients and healthcare managers are delighted about the initiative (Erah and Nwazuoke, 2002). Antiretroviral drug therapy (ART) has faced serious challenges despite much progress and many patients still do not benefit from it due to viral resistance, adverse effects of chronic therapy , lack of adherence to complex regimens, unavailability of current agents in the developing countries (where the pandemic has its greatest impact). The consequences of these have been devastating to the patients, healthcare system and many countries. Controlling the disease will involve ensuring that the patients receiving treatment get the maximum benefits of drug therapy. Thus the management of HIV/AIDS infection is one situation that has brought to the front burner the issue of establishing pharmaceutical care in healthcare systems [world over] (Obodozie, 2006). Hence the role of the Pharmacist in healthcare through the concept of pharmaceutical care has become imperative in the global struggle to save humanity from extinction due to HIV/AIDS.

2. Methods

This is part 1 of 4 from a study carried out using the method described below. The other parts will be subsequently published in this journal. Before the study, an application for ethical approval of the study was sent to the management of the Federal medical centre Owerri, Imo state, Nigeria where the study was carried out and the approval was granted.

The components of the American society of health-system pharmacists (ASHP) guidelines on 'standardized method for pharmaceutical care' (ASHP,1996) was used as a data collection instrument to evaluate, document and intervene in the antiretroviral therapy of about one thousand four hundred and seventy three (1,473) patients.

Data was collected from the patients' prescription sheets, laboratory report forms, care/ART cards, and other relevant forms in their treatment folders. Other relevant information was also obtained from the patients through oral interview. The data collected at this stage formed the base-line/ pre - intervention data for the study.

After documentation of these base-line data, pharmaceutical care interventions were implemented where necessary and this included:

- 1. Patient education using a validated educational material applied uniformly to all the patients in the study.
- 2. Healthcare personnel education, counseling and discussions.
- 3. Recommendations for changes of drugs/regimens change of drug dose interval, duration or dosage form, addition of more drugs, treatment of untreated conditions, implementation of non-drug therapy, patient referral.
- 4. Ensuring that patients do their laboratory tests.
- 5. Monitoring the laboratory test results and carrying out interventions where necessary.
- 6. Giving patients access to pharmacists any time they needed it i.e. maintaining constant communication between the patients and the pharmacists.

Then a repetition of the data collection and documentation above was done nine (9) months after the implementation of the pharmaceutical care interventions mentioned above. This data represents the post - intervention data. The two data sets (baseline / pre-intervention & post-intervention data) were then be collated, analyzed and compared to see if the interventions resulted in any significant differences in the occurrence of drug therapy problems. Appropriate statistical analysis was also applied to the data using Microsoft Excel and SPSS tools. Inclusion and exclusion criteria used for the study were;

- 1. New patients were excluded from the study since they will have had no previous encounter with the system and so no existing data on them.
- 2. Patients selected were those who have received treatment, drugs and counseling from the hospital for at least nine (9) months (i.e. who have visited the hospital for at least three (3) times).
- 3. Both adults and children as well as males and females were involved in the study.
- 4. Patients whose medications will last for less than three (3) months will be excluded from the study. This is to give the interventions enough time to make impacts and produce the possible results and to ensure uniformity of treatment duration and contact with the pharmacist in all the participating patients.

3. Results

The study showed significant reduction in the incidence of side/adverse drug effects following the Pharmacist's intervention activities. It identified about sixty (60) different types of side/adverse effects through observation and patient complaints. These included weakness/fatigue, Nausea, Vomiting, Abdominal pain, Anorexia (loss Appetite), Sudden weight Loss, Muscle weakness, Jaundice, Skin rashes, Lipodystrophy, Extremity wasting/thin legs and arms, Facial thinning, Increased urination, Excessive thirst/hunger, Headaches, Peripheral neuropathy, Dry mouth, Dizziness, Insomnia, Nightmares, Anemia, Excessive weight gain, Cough, Boils, Cataract, Ovulation irregularities, Fever, Diarrhea, Palpitation, Watery stool, Pruritus, Excessive sweating, Salivation, Tinnitus, Black spots on skin, Spots on palm , Excessive sleep, Visual disturbances, Painful menstruation, Loss of menstruation, Internal heat, Constipation, Nail thickening, Breast size increase, Bloating of entire body, Bloating of entire body, Swelling of hands & legs, Dry lips, Palm discoloration, Blistered lips, Spots on tongue, Nail discoloration, Restlessness, increased blood pressure, Indigestion, Tongue swelling, Rigor, Flatulence and Facial swelling. These are shown in tables below;

		-		
	Number	of Patients		
Age Range	Pre - intervention evaluation (A) (% of total).	Post - intervention evaluation (B) (% of total).	Difference (A – B)	% of total = A or B/T x 100
2 yrs – 15 yrs	146	146	0	10
15 yrs above	1327	1327	0	90
Total (T)	1473	1473	0	100

Table 1 shows that 90% of patients involved in the study were adults (18years and above) while 10% of the patients were children (2yrs – 15yrs).

Table 2 shows that most of the patients in the study were females (65%) while the male patients accounted for 35% of the study population.

sex	Number of Pre - intervention evaluation (A) (% of total)	of Patients Post - intervention evaluation (B) (% of total)	Difference (A - B)	% of total = A/T or B/T x 100
Male	513	513	0	35
Female	960	960	0	65
Total (T)	1473	1473	0	100

Table 2	2. Sex	(gender)	distribution
i ubic i	L. OCA	Genuery	uisti ibution

Table 3. Distribution of drug regimens

Pogimon	Number	of Patients	Difference	% of total = A/T	
Regimen	Pre -intervention Post - intervention		(A - B)	$B/T \ge 100$	
	evaluation (A)evaluation (B)(% of total).(% of total).			27 - 1 - 0 0	
AZT/3TC/NVP	339	339	0	23.01	
D4T/3TC/NVP	948	948	0	64.3	
AZT/3TC/EFV	36	36	0	2.4	
D4T/3TC/EFV	105	105	0	7.13	
3TC/ABC/NVP	5	5	0	0.34	
LPV+r /TDF+ FTC	11	11	0	0.8	
D4T/3TC/ABC	3	3	0	0.2	
TDF+FTC/LPV+ r/AZT+3TC	3	3	0	0.2	
TDF+FTC/LPV+ r/AZT	3	3	0	0.2	
TDF+FTC /NVP	6	6	0	0.41	
AZT+3TC /TDF /LPV+r.	2	2	0	0.14	
TDF+FTC/EFV	11	11	0	0.8	
LPV+r/EFV	1	1	0	0.07	
TOTAL (T)	1473	1473	0	100	

Table 3 shows that most of the patients (64.3%) are on the D4T/3TC/NVP regimen while 23.01% of them are on the AZT/3TC/NVP regimen. Others are D4T/3TC/EFV (7.13%), TDF+FTC / NVP (0.41%), AZT/3TC/EFV (2.4%), LPV+r / TDF+FTC and TDF+FTC / EFV (0.8%), (0.41%), D4T/3TC/ABC, LPV+r /

TDF+FTC / AZT and LPV+r / TDF+FTC/AZT+3TC (0.2%), ABC/3TC/NVP (0.34%), TDF/LPV+r / AZT+3TC (0.14%) and LPV+r / EFV (0.07).

		Freq	uency	Difference	% Difference
S/N	Side Effects	Pre-ntervention (% of total)	Post-intervention (% of total)	(A - B)	(A-B)/A x 100
1	Weakness/fatigue	200(13%)	51(3.2%)	149	75
2	Nausea	29(2%)	9(0.6%)	20	69
3	Vomiting	68(4%)	12(0.8%)	56	82
4	Abdominal pain	62(4%)	21(1.3%)	41	66
5	Anorexia (loss Appetite)	50(3%)	5(0.3%)	45	90
6	Sudden weight Loss	20(1%)	3(0.2%)	17	85
7	Muscle weakness	10(0.6%)	1(0.1%)	9	90
8	Jaundice	5(0.3%)	0(0%)	5	100
9	Skin rashes	327(21%)	97(6.1%)	230	70
10	Lipodystrophy	21(1%)	6(0.4%)	15	71
11	Extremity wasting/thin legs and arms.	1(0.1%)	0(0%)	1	100
12	Facial thinning	3(0.2%)	1(0.1%)	2	67
13	Increased urination	10(0.6%)	5(0.3%)	5	50
14	Excessive thirst/hunger	36(2.3%)	6(0.4%)	30	83
15	Headaches	102(6.4%)	36(2.3%)	66	65
16	Peripheral neuropathy	118(7.5%)	41(2.6%)	77	65
17	Dry mouth	8(0.5%)	2(0.1%)	6	75
18	Dizziness	182(11.5%)	31(2.%)	151	83
19	Insomnia	39(2.5%)	19(1.2%)	20	51
20	Nightmares	15(0.9%)	2(0.1%)	13	87
21	Anaemia	6(0.4%)	2(0.1%)	4	67
22	Excessive weight gain	3(0.2%)	1(0.1%)	2	67
23	Cough	10(0.6%)	2(0.1%)	8	80
24	Boils	3(0.2%)	0(0%)	3	100
25	Cataract	2(0.1%)	0(0%)	2	100
26	Ovulation irregularities	3(0.2%)	0(0%)	3	100
27	Fever	49(3.1%)	18(1.1%)	31	63
28	Diarrhoea	4(0.3%)	1(0.1%)	3	75
29	Palpitation	6(0.4%)	0(0%)	6	100
30	Watery stool	2(0.1%)	0(0%)	2	100
31	Pruritus	70(4.4%)	39(2.5%)	31	44
32	Excessive sweating	4(0.3%)	0(0%)	4	100
33	Salivation	3(0.2%)	0(0%)	3	100
34	Tinnitus	6(0.4%)	2(0.1%)	4	67
35	Black spots on skin	4(0.3%)	2(0.1%)	2	50
36	Spots on palm	3(0.2%)	0(0%)	3	100
37	Excessive sleep	3(0.2%)	2(0.1%)	1	33
38	Visual disturbances	8(0.5%)	3(0.2%)	5	65

Table 4. Distribution of frequencies of side effects

39	Painful menstruation	4(0.3%)	1(0.1%)	3	75
40	Loss of menstruation	9(0.6%)	3(0.2%)	6	67
41	Internal heat	16(1%)	7(0.4%)	9	56
42	Constipation	4(0.3%)	0(0%)	4	100
43	Nail thickening	3(0.2%)	2(0.1%)	1	33
44	Breast size increase	2(0.1%)	1(0.1%)	1	50
45	Bloating of entire body	3(0.2%)	1(0.1%)	2	67
46	Swelling of hands & legs	4(0.3%)	1(0.1%)	3	75
47	Dry lips	3(0.2%)	1(0.1%)	2	67
48	Palm discoloration	2(0.1%)	1(0.1%)	1	50
49	Blistered lips	3(0.2%)	1(0.1)	2	67
50	Spots on tongue	2(0.1%)	1(0.1%)	1	50
51	Nail discolouration	3(0.2%)	1(0.1%)	2	67
52	Restlessness	4(0.3%)	0(0%)	4	100
53	Increased blood pressure	7(0.4%)	1(0.1%)	6	86
54	Indigestion	2(0.1%)	1(0.1%)	1	100
55	Tongue swelling	2(0.1%)	1(0.1%)	1	50
56	Rigour	2(0.1%)	1(0.1%)	1	50
57	Flatulence	6(0.4%)	2(0.1%)	4	67
58	Facial swelling	7(0.4%)	3(0.2%)	4	57
	Total	1,583	450	1133	72%

Table 4 shows that about 58 different types of side effects were identified. The most prominent of these side/adverse effects (and their pre and post - intervention incidence trequences) were skin rashes (21% & 6%), weakness/fatigue (13% & 3.2%), dizziness (11.5% & 2%), peripheral neuropathy (7.5% & 2.6%), headache (6.4% & 2.3%) vomiting (4% & 0.8%), abdominal pain (4% % 1.3%), anorexia/loss of appetite (3% & 0.3%), fever (3.1% % 1.1%), insomnia (2.5% & 1.2%), nausea (2% & 0.6%), Lipodystrophy (1% & 0.4%), sudden weight loss (1% & 0.2%), excessive thirst/hunger (2.3% & 0.4%), and pruritus (4.4% & 2.5%).

Generally, there were 1,583 incidences of side effects prior to interventions. This reduced to 450 incidents after the interventions representing a decrease of 72%.

-							
	No. of	patients	Difference	% Difference (A–B)/A x 100			
Variable	Pre-intervention (% of total)	Post- intervention (% of total)	(A – B)				
No side - effects	705 (48%)	1156 (78%)	451	64			
Experienced side effects	768 (52%)	317 (22%)	451	59			
Total	1473	1473	0	0			

Table 5. Summary of incidence of side effects

Table 5 shows that there was a 64% increase in the number of patients not experiencing side effects and a decrease of 59% in the number of patients experiencing side effects.

		MALE				FEMALE			
S/N	REGIMEN	PRE-	POST -	DIFF	% DIFF	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	%
		INTERV	INTERV			INTERV	INTERV		DIFF
1	AZT/3TC/NVP	12	4	8	67	35	24	11	31
2	3TC/D4T/NVP	69	54	15	22	131	97	34	26
3	AZT/3TC/EFV	2	2	0	0	1	0	1	100
4	3TC/D4T/EFV	9	9	0	0	17	17	0	100
5	LPV+ r/TDF + FTC	2	0	2	100	2	2	0	100
6	AZT/LPV + r	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	TDF + FTC/ NVP	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
8	AZT+3TC/TDF/LP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	V+ r								
9	TDF + FTC /EFV	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	97	72	25	26	187	141	46	25

Table 6. Distribution of side effects incidence in correlation with drug regimen and gender (single side effect)

Table 6 shows that 70% of incidence of single side effects occurred in patients of the 3TC/D4T/NVP regimen, the AZT/3TC/NVP regimen accounted for 16% of the side effects, the 3TC/D4T/EFV accounted for 9% of the side effects while the AZT/3TC/EFV accounted for 1% of the side effects. These four regimens accounted for 96% of the side effects. Also the side effects occurred more in the females (65%) than in male (35%). The table also shows that generally the incidences of these side effects were reduced by 58% from 534, to 309 cases after the interventions.

Γable 7. Distribution of side effects incidence in correlation	n with drug regimen and gender (multiple side effects)
--	--

		MALE				FEMALE			
S/N	REGIMEN	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	%	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	%
		INTERV.	INTERV.		DIFF	INTERV.	INTERV.		DIFF
1	AZT/3TC/NVP	6	1	5	83	34	8	26	76
2	3TC/D4T/NVP	67	18	49	73	105	48	57	54
3	AZT/3TC/EFV	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
4	3TC/D4T/EFV	5	5	0	0	18	8	10	56
5	LPV + r/TDF +	1	1	0	0	4	2	2	50
	FTC								
6	TDF + FTC/NVP	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100
7	TDF + FTC / EFV	3	1	2	67	0	0	0	0
8	AZT / TDF + FTC	1	0	1	100	0	0	0	0
	/ LPV + r								
Total		85	28	57	67	165	68	97	59

Table 7 above shows the distribution of the incidence of multiple side effects in correlation with drug regimen and gender. The trend here is the same as the trend in the distribution for single side affects as shown in table 6 above.

		MALE				FEMALE			
S/N	REGIMEN	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	%	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	%
		INTERV.	INTERV.		DIFF	INTERV.	INTERV.		DIFF
1	AZT/3TC/NVP	18	5	13	72	69	42	27	39
2	3TC/D4T/NVP	136	72	64	47	236	145	91	39
3	AZT/3TC/EFV	4	4	0	0	3	2	1	33
4	3TC/D4T/EFV	14	14	0	0	35	25	10	29
5	LPV + r/TDF + FTC	3	1	2	67	6	4	2	33
6	AZT/ LPV + r/ TDF	2	1	1	50	0	0	0	0
	+ FTC								
7	TDF + FTC/NVP	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
8	AZT+3TC/TDF/LPV	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	+ r								
9	TDF + FTC /EFV	4	2	2	50	0	0	0	0
Total		182	100	82	45	352	209	143	41

Table 8. Combination of Tables 6 & 7 (single plus multiple side effects)

Table 8 is combination of table 6 and 7 above to give an overall picture of the correlation of side/adverse effects with drug regimen and gender.

			CHILD	DREN	ADULT					
S/N	REGIMEN	PRE -	POST -	DIFF	% DIFF	PRE -	POST -	DIFF	%	
		INTERV.	INTERV.			INTER V.	INTERV.		DIFF	
1	AZT/3TC/NVP	5	4	1	20	42	24	18	43	
2	3TC/D4T/NVP	11	6	5	45	186	145	41	22	
3	AZT/3TC/EFV	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	
4	3TC/D4T/EFV	0	0	0	0	28	26	2	7	
5	LPV + r / TDF + FTC	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	
6	AZT/LPV + r/ TDF +	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
	FTC									
7	TDF + FTC/NVP	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
8	AZT+3TC/TDF/LPV+	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
	r									
9	TDF + FTC /EFV	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Total		16	10	61	38	264	203	61	23	

Table 9. Distribution of side effect incidence in correlation with drug regimen and age (single side effect)

Table 9 shows the distribution of the incidence of single side effects correlated with drug regimen and age. The trend is the same as the trend tables 6 and 7above in terms of incidence per regimen. However most of the side effects occurred in adults (95%) while the paediatric cases accounted for only 5% of the side effects.

C (N			CHILDF	REN		ADULT						
S/N	REGIMEN	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	% DIFF	PRE –	POST –	DIFF	%			
		INTERV.	INTERV.			INTERV.	INTERV.		DIFF			
1	AZT/3TC/NVP	4	2	2	50	36	7	29	81			
2	3TC/D4T/NVP	8	1	7	88	169	66	8	61			
3	AZT/3TC/EFV	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0			
4	3TC/D4T/EFV	0	0	0	0	23	13	10	43			
5	LPV + r/TDF +	0	0	0	0	5	3	2	40			
	FTC											
6	AZT/LPV+r/	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100			
	TDF + FTC											
7	TDF + FTC / NVP	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	67			
8	TDF + FTC / EFV	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100			
Total		12	3	9	75	242	93	149	62			

Table 10. Distribution of side effect incidence in correlation with drug regimen and age (multiple side effects)

Table 10 shows the distribution of the incidence of multiple side effects as correlated with drug regimen and age. The trend is the same as the trend in the distribution for single side effects in table 9 above.

	_		MAI	Æ		FEMALE				
S/N	REGIMEN	PRE -	POST -	DIFF	% DIFF	PRE –	POST -	DIFF	% DIFF	
		INTER	INTER			INTER	INTER			
1	AZT/3TC/NVP	9	6	3	33	78	31	47	60	
2	3TC/D4T/NVP	19	7	12	63	355	211	144	41	
3	AZT/3TC/EFV	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	
4	3TC/D4T/EFV	0	0	0	0	51	39	12	24	
5	LPV + r/TDF + FTC	0	0	0	0	7	5	2	29	
6	AZT/LPV+r/TDF+ FTC	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
7	TDF + FTC/NVP	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	67	
8	AZT + 3TC/TDF/LPV +	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
	r									
9	TDF + FTC /EFV	0	0	0	0	4	2	2	50	
Total		28	13	15	54	506	296	210	42	

Table 11. Combination of tables 9 & 10 (single plus multiple side effects)

Table 11 is combination of table 9 and 10 above to give an overall picture of the correlation of side/adverse effects occurrence with drug regimen and age.

PRE - INT	ERVENTION	POST - INT	ERVENTION		
Male	Female	Male	Female		
182 (35%)	352(65%)	100 (32%)	209 (68%)		
PRE - INT	ERVENTION	POST - INT	ERVENTION		
Children	Adult	Children	Adult		
28 (5%)	506 (95%)	13 (4%)	296 (96%)		

Table 12. Summary of side effects in correlation with gender and age

Table 12 shows an abstract summary from Tables 8 and 11.

4. Statistical analysis

4.1. Hypothesis

H₀**:** Pharmaceutical care interventions do not reduce the occurrence of side/ adverse drug reactions in patients receiving antiretroviral drugs.

H_a: Pharmaceutical care interventions reduce the occurrence of side/ adverse drug reactions in patients receiving antiretroviral drugs.

Here we use a summarized form of table 4 shown below for statistical analysis using the Pearson's chi square (goodness of fit) test so as to validate the result of the foregoing study.

S/N To		Free	quency		
	Total no of side effects	A = Pre- intervention (% of total)	B = Post- intervention (% of total)	(A - B)	% Difference (A-B)/A x 100
1	58	1583	450	1133	72

Here the expected frequency (Fe) is 50/50 because the chance probability is half (1/2) since we are looking at two groups of data in the table. These are the pre – intervention frequency (1583) and post – intervention frequency (450).

5. Decision rule

Accept null hypothesis if the value of the chi - square calculated is less than the chi - square table value and reject the alternative hypothesis, otherwise accept the alternative hypothesis if the value of the chi - square

calculated is greater than the chi - square table value and reject the null hypothesis. Mathematically, the above decision rule is stated as follows:

Accept H_0 if X^2 (Cal) < X^2 (tab).

Accept H_a if X^2 (Cal) > X^2 (tab).

As such,

$$X^{2}Cal = \frac{(F_{o} - F_{e})^{2}}{F_{e}}$$

where Fo = Observe frequency

Fe = Expected frequency

Thus,

$$X^{2}Cal = \frac{(1583 - 50)^{2}}{50} + \frac{(450 - 50)^{2}}{50} = 47,001.78 + 3,200 = 50,201.78$$

Now, degree of freedom (Df) = (R - 1)(C - 1)

Then from Chi-square table,

Df 1 at 95% confidence level = 3.84

Thus, we now have

X² cal = 50,201.78 and

 X^{2} tab = 3.84

Therefore based on our chi - square decision rule above, we reject Ho and accept Ha since X² cal > X² tab and conclude that Pharmaceutical care interventions reduce the occurrence of side/adverse drug reactions in patients receiving antiretroviral drugs.

6. Discussion

A very important discovery of the study is that 52% of the patients experienced and complained of one side effect or the other. This number was reduced to 22% after the intervention activities. About sixty (60) different types of side effects were identified during the study as shown in table 4 above. Earlier reports portrayed the general incidence of side effects as being below 5%. This has now been shown to be different for patients on chronic anti - infective therapy like antiretroviral drug therapy. Worthy of note also is the fact that the pharmacist intervention activities impacted greatly on the incidence of these side effects reducing the number experiencing them by 30%.

A correlation of the incidence of side effects with the corresponding drug regimen and sex (gender) showed that most of the side effects (70%) occurred in patients on the 3TC/D4T/NVP regimen followed by the AZT/3TC/NVP regimen (16%), D4T/3TC/EFV regimen (9%) and AZT/3TC/EFV regimen (1%). This trend was the same in both the patients experiencing single side effect and those experiencing multiple side effects. These four (4) regimens accounted for 96% of the side effects. Also the side effects occurred most in the female (65%) than in the males (35%). The interventions impacted positively on the incidence of these side effects as the number of patients experiencing them reduced by 58% to 309 from 534.

Another correlation of the incidence of side effects with drug regimen and age corroborated the above incidence pattern with the regimen. The 3TC/D4T/NVP regimen had the highest incidence of 70% followed by the AZT/3TC/NVP regimen (16%), D4T/3TC/EFV (9%) and AZT/3TC/EFV (1%). Also the side effects occurred more in adults (95%) with only 5% of the incidence occurring in children. The low incidence of side effects in the children may be attributed to the fact that many of them could have been too tender to know and detect the occurrence of these in them.

Also the high incidence of these side effects in women can be attributed to their physiological configuration as they usually have more fatty/adipose tissue into which most of these drugs are distributed. They are also less tolerant to pain and discomfort and so may complain of these discomforting side effects more than the stronger and more resilient men. The high incidence with the 3TC/D4T/NVP regimen may be attributed to the stavudine component which is now being withdrawn from the Nigerian market and being replaced with zidovudine where appropriate. Also implicated is nevirapine which is known to cause skin rashes in many patients and thus is being considered for withdrawal and replacement with efavirenz. This consideration is being weighed carefully as Efavirenz has its problem of CNS problems including nightmares, hallucinations, insomnia and suicidal ideation. These improvements were significant at p>0.5 as shown in the statistical analysis above.

7. Conclusion

Based on the results of the study, we conclude that pharmacists' interventions in antiretroviral drug therapy through Pharmaceutical care can significantly reduce the incidence of side/adverse drug effects in HIV/AIDS patients receiving antiretroviral drugs.

8. Recommendations

Based on the results of the study above, the following policy measures are proffered.

- 1. Efforts should be made to increase the enrollment and care of children as the number of them enrolled is far below the number affected by the disease.
- 2. Efforts should also be made to encourage male enrollment since the number enrolled is far below that of females indicating a possible shortfall in their enrollment.
- 3. Patients should also be educated on the need to report side/adverse effect as well as other problems they may encounter in the course of treatment.
- 4. To be able to achieve the laudable goals of healthcare, adequate manpower should be made available. As such government should make effort to train and employ more healthcare workers especially pharmacists whose numbers in hospitals are too small compared to the number of patients that need their attention.
- 5. Finally, the role of the pharmacist in patient care can no longer be over emphasized. As such government should make adequate efforts to develop and utilize the abundant skills and potentials of pharmacist and pharmaceutical care.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to;

- 1. The intern pharmacists, Pharm Damian Obiora, Pharm Cynthia Ozurumba and Pharm John Uzoma who assisted in the course of this study. We will not forget your help.
- 2. Federal medical centre Owerri, Imo state, Nigeria (my birth and work place) for the permission to carry out this study and many other studies there and for always encouraging us the staff to carry out research studies.
- 3. My numerous patients who endured many months of questioning, examinations and counseling. We appreciate your patience and sacrifice. It was all for your good and better health.

References

ASHP - American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (1996), "ASHP guidelines on a standardized method for pharmaceutical care", *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacists*, Vol. 53, pp. 1713-1716.

Erah, P.O. and Nwazuoke, J.C. (2002), "Identification of standards for Pharmaceutical care in Benin city", *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical research*", Vol. 1, No.2, pp. 55-56.

FIP - Federation of International Pharmacists' (1998), "Pharmaceutical care", in *FIP Statement of Professional Standards* as adopted by the FIP council, Hague, Netherlands, 4th September.

Obodozie, O.O. (2006), "Pharmaceutical care in HIV/AIDS", in Obiorah, B.A. (Ed), *GHAIN'S manual for skills certification workshop on HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases and opportunistic infections for Community Pharmacists*, Mindex, Benin Nigeria, pp. 222-281.

Appendix A. Ethical approval for the study



Appendix A. Data collection form

зŀ		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(Insert if applicable)	-
TA																				CLIENT'S UNIQUE ID NUMBER	
			-	-	-		1							-			-		1	(115) M	Т
																	1			(<15) F	1
											-				1					>> >55	1
						-	1	1	1		-		-	-			1	1	-	2 m	1
-		-		-	-		-	-			-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	AZT+3TC+NVP	+
																			-	D4T+3TC+NVP	1
-						-	-				-		-		-					AZT+3TC+EFZ	
+						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		D4T+3TC+EFZ	-
+		-							-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-			-
																				No potential or actual drug therapy problems identified	1
						1														ART-ineligible client commencing ART	1
																		1		Wrong client / wrong or no client's name	1
-		_																		combinations/Regimen	
-	_					-														Incorrect dosage regimen	
+	-				-	-	-	-		-		-			-	-	-	-	-	Incorrect dosage form Wrong drug for the medical	-
			-				-	-	-			-	-	1	-		-	-	-	No drug for the medical problem	
+	-				-		-		-			-	-	1	-		-	-		No valid indication for the drug	
													-		-				1	Possible Drug - Drug or Drug- Disease interaction	
																				Drug may aggravate adverse effects	
																				Duration inappropriate	1
																				Frequency inappropriate	1
																				Client's adherence counseling not done or completed	1
																				Contraindication, drug allergy	1
+																				Abbreviations not understood	
+			_				-													Written order confusing/ incomplete	
+			-				-		_					-	-					No prescriber's name, signature or date	
+	-								-				-		-	-				Handwriting illegible or unclear	-
+	-						-	-			-		-					-	-		1
+	-												-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No intervention taken	ł
											1.1							-		Contacted the prescriber or other health care personnel to clarify error	
																				Recommendation/medication information provided	1
	_																			Did not dispense medication	
+	-	_	-																	Caregiver	-
+													-	-						Drug therapy initiated/ changed	-
+		-							_				-								
																				NONE	t
										-										Drug/Regimen changed	1
-	_	_																		Dose changed	
-	-																			Dosage form changed	
+	-												-	-						Anomaly or Error resolved	-
+	-						-				-		-					-		Anomaly or Error not resolved Action prevented potential drug	1
																		-		Recommendation to prescriber not	1
																				accepted	1
																					1
-	-										_									No Advserse Drug Reactions Detected	4
+									_				-	-				-		Fatigue / weakness	-
				-																Vomiting	1
																				Abdominal pain	1
+	-										-		-							Anorexia (loss of appetite)	-
-																				Sudden weight loss Muscle weakness	1
																				Jaundice	1
-	_																			Skin rashes	-
+												-								Redistribution) Extremity wasting with venous	-
																				Facial thinning	j
-	_					-														Increased urination	1
+	-	-										-			-			-		Excessive thirst or hunger	-
																				Headache Pain, lingling or numbress in hands or feet	
																				Dry Mouth	1
-	_																			Dizziness	1
-		-		-						-	-		-	-				-		Insomnia	-
																		-		Anaemia	1
	_																	-			-1

		}	t (ye		A	PEN	DIX	9.6							i e		
36			Percel	ntlla V	Alina	(1)			ANDIAN								
				for I	10	(Ap)			A	and get							
1		C	11-80	uere D	lelilu	Man				1 11	1-	P					
		will	Ir v De	01688	of Fr	aadom	t t			an de la Marine de Bardi, e ha de Bardi, a							
1										1 2	AB						
Ęt	r	x	x 1	x.1	X.05	2 ¹ 10	× 28	X1.00	×4.	X [®]	x	x*	x4	28			
	1	.0000	:0008	.0010	.0039	0158	. 102	455	1 0 9	0.71	0.01						
	2	.0100	.0201	.0506	.108	.211	675	1.80	0.00	6.11	8.89	0.02	0.03	7.89	10.8		
	8	.0717	.115	.210	.852	584	1.91	9 97	A.11	4.01	0.00	7.88	19.0	10.6	18.6		
	1	.207	.207	.484	.711	1.00	1.00	11 80	5.00	0.20	7.81	9.86	11.8	18.0	16.8		
	Б	.412	.664	.881	1.15	1.01	2 87	4.95	0.00	1.10	0.90	11.1	19.8	14.9	18.6		
	0		.872	1.84	1.64	9 90	9.46	9.30	0.03	9.24	11.1	12.8	15.1	18.7	80.6		
	7	.080	1.21	1.60	217	8.89	1.96	0.00	0.04	10.0	12.6	14.4	10.8	18.5	88.6		
1	8	1.81	1.66	218	0 70	0.40	* 0/2	0.00	0.04	12.0	14.1	10.0	18.6	80.8	84.8		
	0	1.78	2 00 '	9 70	9.90	0.40	0.07	7.34	¢ 10.13	18.4	15.5	17.5	20.1	88.0	28.1		
	16	2.10	2.60	8.10	0.00	4.17	6.90	8.94	11.4	14.7	16.0	10.0	81.7	88.0	87.9		
	11	2 00	8.05	8.82	4.67	4.87 6.80	0.74	9.84	12.5	16.0	18.9	20.6	28.2	25.2	29.6		
	12	8.07	8.67	4.40	6.04	0.00	1.08	10.3	18.7	17.9	10.7	21.9	24.7	20.8	81.8		
	13	8.67	4.11	5.01	5.00	0.30	8.11	11.8	14.8	18.5	21.0	28.8	20.8	28.8	32.9		
i	11	107	1 44	5.00	0.00	1.04	9.30	12.3	16.0	10.8	22.4	24.1	27.7	29.8	84.6		
,	15	4.60	1.00 K 00	0.03	0.07	1.79	10.2	19.8	17.1	21.1	28.7	26.1	20.1	81.8	86.1		
i	10	5.1.4	5.86	0.20	7.20	8.66	11.0	14.8	18.2	22.8	25.0	27.6	\$0.6	82.8	87.7		
1	17	5 70	A 11	7.50	7.00	0.81	14.9	15.8	19.4	29.5	26.8	28.8	82.0	84.8	80.8		
	18	0.20	7.01	8 23	0.90	10.1	12.8	10.9	20.5	24.8.	27.8	80.2	33.4	85.7	40.8		
1	10	0.84	7.03	8.91	10.1	11.7	14.0	17.8	21.6	20.0	289	81.5	84.8	87.2	48.3		
1	20	7.49	8.26	0.50	10.9	12.4	14.0	18.8	22.7	27.2	80.1	32.9	86.2	88.6	48.8		
	21	8.08	8.90	10.8	11.6	18.2	10.0	10.3	23.8	28.4	31.4	34.2	97.6	40.0	45.3		
()	22	8 04	0.64	11.0	12.9	14.0	17.9	01.0	24.0	20.6	32.7	35.5	38.9	41.4	16.8		
1	28	0.20	10.2	117	181	11.9	10.1	00.0	20.0	. 80.8	88.9	80.8	40.3	42.8	48.8		
	21	0.80	10.9	12.4	18.8	15 11	10.1	22.3	21.1	92.0	85.2	80.1	41.0	44.2	49.7		
	26	10.5	11.5	181	14.0	10.7	10.0	23.3	28.2	98.2	88.4	89.4	49.0	45.0	51.8		
	20	11.2	12.2	19.8	15.4	17.0	111.11	21.8	29.9	94.4	87.7	40.0	44.8	46.0	52.8		
	87	11.8	18.0	14.0	10.9	18.1	20,8	20.8	30.4 04 K	85.6	98.0	41.0	45.6	48.8	54.1		
	28	12.6	18.0	15.3	16.0	18.0	297	07.0	01.0	30.7	40.1	49.2	47.0	49.0	88.6		
	20	10.1	14.8	16.0	17.7	10.8	28.0	21.0	007	01.0	41.8	44.0	48.8	61.0	88.9		
	80	18.8	15.0	18.8	18.6	20.6	24.6	20.8	34 8	40.0	48.6	40.7	49.6	62.8	68.8		
	10	20.7	22.2	24.4	26.5	29.1	88.7	80.8	45.6	61.8	85.8	60.8	847	DA.7	60.7		
	60	28.0	20.7	88.4	84.8	87.7	42.0	49.8	66.8	03.2	07.6	71.4	76.2	79.5	80.7		
	60	86.6	87.5	40.8	48.2	40.5	52.8	50.8	67.0	74.4	70.1	88.8	88.4	98.0	09.0		
	70	48.9	45.4	48.8	61.7	55.8	01.7	60.8	77.0	85.5	00.8	95.0	100	101	118		
T.	80	60.0	00.0	07.8	60.4	84.8	71,1	10.8	88.1	.08.0	102	107	118	110	125		
	100	67.8	70.1	74.2	77.9	BR 4	00.1	80,8	100	108	118	118	124	128	187		
11		l				Yu	Harris and		il toul	A REAL	F 1 1 4	190	186	140	1 49		
		Sour	od: 16. (11	B. Pes 000), Ti	able 8,	pases		lity B	abiete ik	Teb	• /or	Statlat	lolans.	Vol. 1			

Appendix C. Chi – square distribution table